

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,613		11/10/2003	Yoshio Tomoda	03679/LH	4678
1933	7590	08/12/2005		EXAM	INER
		TZ, GOODMAI	TRAN LIE	TRAN LIEN, THUY	
	AVE FL 1 RK. NY	10001-7708	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , ,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/705,613	TOMODA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAIL INC DATE of the	Lien T. Tran	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2003.						
<i>;</i> —	,						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 10. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/705,613

Art Unit: 1761

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claims 1,10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "lowered acrylamide" is indefinite because there is no frame of reference; lowered in comparison to what?

In claim 10: Line 3, the phrase "one or more kinds" is indefinite because it is not clear what is included or excluded from such language.

Claim 11 has the same problem as claim 1.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teh et al.

Teh et al disclose a process of making fried instant noodles. The process comprises blending flour and water to form a dough, sheeting the dough to form dough sheets, slitting the dough sheets to form strips, steaming the strips and frying the strips to provide noodles. The water used to form the dough has a pH from 6 to 7. The dough

Art Unit: 1761

also contains sodium carbonate, potassium carbonate and sodium hexametaphosphate. (see col. 1 lines 45-55, col. 2 lines 55-62)

Teh et al. disclose the same processing steps as claimed. Blending raw cereal flour with water to form a dough is the same as kneading a mixture containing a cereal flour to prepare noodle dough. The dough of Teh et al. contains the same pH-controlling agent as claimed; thus, it is inherent the pH of the fried noodles is the same as claimed. The process of Teh et al is the same as claimed and the dough contains the same ingredients as claimed, it is inherent the noodles prepared have lowered acrylamide as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teh et al. in view of Miller et al. and Yamasaki et al.

Teh et al do not disclose applying acid solution to the dough or the strands of noodle before frying and the type of acid in the acidic solution as claimed.

Miller et al disclose a process of making instant ramen noodles. They teach coating the noodles prior to drying with additional ingredients to enhance the flavor, appearance or texture of the noodles. These ingredients add flavoring or nutritional value, impart a certain texture or simply extend storage life. The ingredients include acids. (see col. 7 lines 53-65)

Yamasaki et al disclose a process for producing noodles. They teach to tread the noodles with acid solution including such acids as lactic acid, citric acid, malic acid and vinegar. (see col. 4 lines 24-26)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to treat the noodle strands with an acid solution for the same reason taught by Miller et al. While Miller et al teach drying the noodle instead of frying, the difference between frying and drying is only in the fat content of the final product and not in other properties. Teh et al also recognize the alternative of frying or drying. When an acid coating is used, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use edible acid that is applicable to noodles such as the acids disclosed by Yamasaki et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Miyazaki et al disclose a method of making fried instant noodle.

Yamazaki et al disclose a process for producing noodles.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cano Milton can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 8, 2005

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joup 1707)